Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/761,699	CONWAY, THOMAS M.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jared W. Newton	3634
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Jared W. Newton (Examiner).	(3)	
(2) <u>L. Friedman (Attorney)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 December 2006	Time: <u>3:30pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.	•	•
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 1, 13, 22		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.	,	
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
261). I-		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted the Attorney to propose amendments to put the instant Application in condition for allowance. The Attorney did not agree to the initially proposed changes; however, after discussion with a Primary Examiner, the above Examiner presented the Attorney with proposed changes to claims 13 and 22, which the Attorney agreed to, putting the instant Application in condition for allowance.

RICHARD E. CHILCOT, JR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER